## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date:_c Time:_	1:15pm Weather Conditions: 33° Show.				
		Yes	No	Notes	
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	<b>(</b> )			
1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X		
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X		
CCR Ft	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<b>4</b> ))			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X			
5.,	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	K	faithmilition in the state of t	erita et	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	and the second s	大.		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	onigalomost a jibba disa di a	X	and the state of t	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		χ	The second secon	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		1		
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X		

Additional Notes:	. 3	
A CONTRACT C	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	
	-	
•		
* Marie and Artifact and Artifa		TO BE CONTINUED AND THE PARTY OF THE BUSINESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.
	Consider the second of the sec	
		•